

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

959 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10707 OF 2018
IN FAST/218/2018

BALASAHEB PUNJAJI TANPURE
VERSUS
KISHOR BHAUSAHEB WANI AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. P. S. Pawar holding for Mr. Tandale
Kakasaheb J.

Advocate for Respondents No.3 : Mr. S. S. Dargad holding for
Mr.Chapalgaonkar S.G.

...
CORAM : SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.
DATE : 14-06-2019.

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned advocate Mr. P. S. Pawar holding for Mr. Tandale Kakasaheb representing applicant, and learned advocate Mr. S. S. Dargad holding for Mr.Chapalgaonkar S.G. representing respondent No.3.
2. By this application the original claimant intends to get the delay of 256 days condoned. Though respondents No.1 and 2 are served, they are absent. Learned advocate for respondent No.3 submits that, the delay has not been properly explained.
3. Taking into consideration the reasons stated in para No.4, the delay is condoned. Application stands allowed and disposed of accordingly.
4. Registry to verify and register the appeal and place it before appropriate Bench.

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

vjg/-