

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.198 OF 2019

Chatur s/o Baburao Thakur,
Age: 47 years, Occ: Service as Peon,
R/o. Lahan HUDCO, Nandurbar,
Tq. & Dist. Nandurbar. ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Department of Tribal
Development, Mantralaya,
Mumbai-32
Through its Secretary.
2. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate
Scrutiny Committee,
Nandurbar Division, Nandurbar
Through its Member Secretary. ..RESPONDENTS

Mr S.C. Yeramwar, Advocate for petitioner;
Mrs M.A. Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondents

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 8th JANUARY, 2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard learned Counsel appearing for the
petitioner.

(2)

2. As the very limited grievance is raised in the petition, the petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage.

3. The submission of the petitioner is that the he entered in the service of State Government on the post of Peon. The petitioner was desirous of availing further promotions in his service career and wanted to stake his claim for promotions from the reserved category candidates. As such, the petitioner submitted his claim before respondent No.2 Committee for validation on 9th November, 2004. The exercise of vigilance report is undertaken in the matter and vigilance cell submitted report on 22 August, 2005. The research officer also submitted his opinion to the Committee, and as there was no further progress in the matter, the petitioner submitted reminder to the Committee on 3rd December, 2018 with a request to issue validity certificate expeditiously. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that till date, there is no further progress in the matter.

(3)

5. Learned A.G.P. appearing for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 submitted before us that as there is huge pendency of the claims before the Committee, in spite of best possible efforts by the Committee, the Committee is unable to decide the claim expeditiously as expected by the claimant.

6. In view of the above referred facts, we are of the opinion that the petition can be disposed of by issuing directions to respondent No.2 - Committee to decide the claim of the petitioner expeditiously and not later than three months from the date of order of this Court.

7. With this direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

(**S.M.GAVHANE**)
JUDGE

(**PRASANNA B. VARALE**)
JUDGE