

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 338 OF 2017

SANTOSHKUMAR GANESHMAL DHOKA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. K.D. Jadhav, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate for respondents no. 2 and 3
Mr. S.N. Kendre, AGP for respondent-State
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
S.M. GAVHANE, JJ.
DATE : 17-06-2019

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for respondents no. 2 and 3.
2. Learned counsel refers to an order passed by this court in writ petition no. 12840 of 2016 dated 26-09-2018, to which one of us is party, showing the stand as had been taken on behalf of respondents no. 2 and 3. Learned counsel for respondents no. 2 and 3 draws attention to statements in affidavit-in-reply and states that, in this matter as well, only after following due procedure of acquisition of land, further steps would be taken.

3. In view of aforesaid, the purpose underlying the writ petition stands served and writ petition accordingly is disposed of.

[S.M. GAVHANE]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/