

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 10508 of 2019

- Hulas Ram Banjare S/o. Shri Baisakhu Ram Banjare Aged About 56 Years Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Saraipali, Sankul Kendra Temar, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. District Education Officer Education District Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
3. Block Education Officer, Sakti Education District Sakti, Baradwar Road, In Front Of Panchmukhi Inden Gas Agency, Sakti District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Udho Ram Koshaley, Advocate

For Respondents/ State : Shri Ravish Verma, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

12/12/2019

Heard.

1. The challenge is to the order dated 18.11.2019 whereby the services of the petitioner has been attached to Govt. Primary School, Gatwa. It is contended that the petitioner is a teacher substantially holding the post at Saraipali and by order dated 18.11.2019, he has been attached in a sole teacher Govt. Primary School Gatwa.
2. It is contended that the petitioner under gone eye operation and by attachment of the petitioner in the mid session it would cause harassment to

the petitioner, therefore, the attachment may be cancelled.

3. Perusal of Annexure P-1 which is under challenge, I do not find any illegality and as per the order of the State the Block Education Officer for internal arrangement of the teacher the petitioner services has been attached to School at Gatwa. In the said order it was directed to be relieved on 03.10.2019. From date of order substantial time has already been passed unless therefore untill such attachment is tainted with malafide the same cannot be set aside or against any substantial policy or circular of the State the same cannot be cancelled, therefore, I do not find any reason to interfere with the order.
4. The petition has no merit, hence dismissed.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge

Jyoti