

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 4441 of 2019**

Woman Self Help Grup Navgai, Development Block Navgai, District Surajpur, Through Its President i.e. Smt. Meera Jaiswal, W/o Gyanendra Jaiswal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Village Navgai, Police Station Biharpur, Tahsil Orgi, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Women And Child Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Directorate Of Women And Child Development, Indravati Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Commissioner, Surguja Division, Surguja (Ambikapur) Chhattisgarh
4. Collector Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
5. Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Services Project, Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
6. District Program Officer, Woman And Child Development, Surajpur District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Varunendra Mishra, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****05.12.2019**

1. The limited relief that the petitioner has sought in the present writ

petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents for taking appropriate decision on the application that the petitioner has filed before the respondents 4 & 6 in the light of the order passed by the Commissioner, Surguja Division dated 12.03.2019 Annexure P-4.

2. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the petitioner is directed to approach the respondents 4 & 6 afresh by a fresh representation to be made within a period of 15 days from today and the respondents 4 & 6 shall take an appropriate decision on the said representation within a further period of 45 days subject to verification of the fact as to whether the order of the Commissioner has further been challenged or not and whether the claim of the petitioner has already been decided by the respondents 4 & 6 or not.
3. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge