

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No.4492 of 2019

Pati Ram S/o Alhalet Aged About 50 Years R/o Village Baroud, Tahsil Gharghoda, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. Union of India Through Under Secretary To Government Of India, Ministry Of Coal , Office At New Delhi.
2. South Eastern Coalfield Limited Through Managing Director, Office At Seepat Road , Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
3. Area Manager South Eastern Coalfield Limited, Raigarh Area, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Sub Area Manager South Eastern Coalfield Limited, Baroud Sub Area, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
5. Collector Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
6. Sub Divisional Officer Revenue, Gharghoda, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Yogesh Chandra, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Ayaz Naved, Dy. Govt. Advocate.
For Respondent 2to4	:	Shri Vivek Ranjan Tiwari, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

09.12.2019

1. Heard.
2. The case of the petitioner is that he was granted lease on a forest land and since land came for the mining purpose by the SECL he has been displaced and the mining have been affected.
3. It is stated that by a letter dated 29/08/2019, the SDO (Revenue) Gharghoda has directed SECL to make payment of compensation in respect of the land of the petitioner and make rehabilitation according to the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.
4. The prayer of the petitioner is that direction given by the SDO (Revenue) Gharghoda may be complied with and the SECL may be directed to take

decision on such letter dated 29/08/2019. At this stage, considering the communication made by the SDO (Revenue) Gharghoda to the SECL, without any observation on the merit, SECL is directed to take cognizance of the letter dated 29/08/2019 and take a decision in this issue so that the benefit can be extended to the affected person. The said decision may be taken within outer limit of six months from the date of receipt of copy of the order. If grievance of the petitioner subsists, the petitioner shall have the liberty to approach this court again.

5. With such observation, the petitions stand disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder