

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**REVP No. 250 of 2019**

1. Sita Dinkar Wd/o Mahendra Kumar Dinkar, aged About 23 Years,
2. Sudharam Dinkar S/o Late Chhabli Dinkar, aged About 55 Years,
3. Smt. Sheela Dinkar W/o Suthram Dinkar, aged About 50 Years,
4. Roshan Kumar Dinkar S/o Sudharam Dinkar, aged About 29 Years,

All are R/o Village Kachhar, Post Aramsahi, Police Station Masturi, District Larkspur (C.G.)

---- Applicants/Claimants**Versus**

1. Sarvajeet Kumar S/o Surendra Singh, Aged About 26 Years Occupation-Driver, Resident of Sonbarsa (Khaira), Police Station -Mali, District Aurangabad (Bihar), New Address Through Vehicle Owner, Nawal Singh Son of Late Vinod Narayan Singh, Resident of Rajkishore Nagar, Near Aasha Aata Chakki, Police Station Sarkanda, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
(**Driver** - Swaraj Majda Truck No. C.G.-10/C/9550)
2. Nawal Kishore Singh S/o Late Vinod Narayan Singh, Resident of Rajkishore Nagar, Near Aasha Aata Chakki, Police Station Sarkanda, Tahsil and District Larkspur (C.G.)
(**Owner** - Swaraj Majda Truck No C.G.-10/C/9550)
3. Branch Manager, New India Insurance Company Limited Through its Branch Manager, Branch Office- Rama Trade Center, In Front of Rajeev Plaza, Old Bus Stand Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
(**Insurer** - Swaraj Majda Truck No C.G.-10/C/9550)

---- Respondents

For Applicants : Shri Gautam Khetrapal, Advocate.

Hon'ble Justice Shri Gautam Chourdiya**Judgment On Board****19/12/2019**

- 1) Heard on **I.A. No. 01/2019.**

- 2) This is application for condonation of delay of 26 days in filing the Review Petition.
- 3) For the reasons mentioned in the application which is duly supported by affidavit, the same is allowed and delay in filing the Review Petition is condoned.
- 4) Also, heard on admission.
- 5) By way of this review petition, the applicants/claimants have sought modification in the judgment dated 12/03/2019 passed in **MAC No. 69/2016** to the extent of interest part which is prayed to be granted from the date of filing claim petition before the learned Claims Tribunal in place of from the date of award.
- 6) Having heard learned counsel for the applicants and perused the judgment sought to be reviewed, this Court is of the opinion that all the relevant aspects of the matter have already been considered by this Court. There is no ground to review the judgment dated 12/03/2019.
- 7) Accordingly, the review petition being without any substance is hereby dismissed at the motion state.

-Sd/-
(Gautam Chourdiya)
Judge