

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 13 of 2019

Banshi Ram Mandavi S/o Mr. Anand Ram Mandavi Aged About 40 Years By
Occupation Lecturer Local Body, Govt. H.S.S. Kapsi, Block Kolibeda, District Uttar
Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department,
Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District
Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.,
District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Parag Kotecha Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

08/01/2019

Ignoring the default pointed out by the Registry with the consent of the parties
matter heard finally at motion stage.

1. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the
increment granted to the Petitioner is being withdrawn on the ground that he
has not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard to
withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed

B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03.11.2017)** and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.
5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge