

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 4359 of 2019**

Girwar Prasad Varma S/o Fattelal Verma, Aged About 64 Years, R/o
Ward No.8, Bhatapara Chowk, Village Kosmanda, Sonar Deori,
District Balodabazar, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Food And Civil Supplies-Cum-Deputy Director, Directorate, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, Balodabazar, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. The Tahsildar, Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. The Branch Manager, Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Raipur, Branch Kosmandi, Tahsil Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
7. Prathmik Krishi Sakh Sewa Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, Chherkapur Centre No. 452, Through Samiti Prabandhak, Chherkapur, Tahsil Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Aman Kesharwani with Mr. A. K. Prasad, Advocates
For State	:	Mr. P. Acharya, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order On Board

02.12.2019

1. The limited grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents for making necessary corrections and entries to be made in respect of petitioner in the Data Operating System.
2. According to the petitioner, he is registered under the respondent No.7- society. The petitioner in the present year had moved an appropriate application to the respondent No.4 for making certain corrections, so far as the land area and other particulars are concerned, which till date has not been considered by the respondent No.4 and meanwhile the date for corrections has lapsed. According to the petitioner, he had moved his application much before the expiry of the date for correction.
3. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending rather ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents No.4 to immediately process claim of the petitioner subject to verification of the fact, as to whether the petitioner had made his application for correction/modification well within time and whether the petitioner had fulfilled the requisite requirement as per memo dated 25.07.2019 or any other circulars thereafter.
4. Let the respondent No.4 take a decision in respect of the petitioner at the earliest preferably within a period of two weeks from the date the petitioner presents the copy of this order to the respondent No.4.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge

Khatai