

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 10059 of 2019**

- Vasudeo Kumar Pradhan S/o. Sanatan Pradhan Aged About 42 Years Presently Posted And Working As Lecturer (LB), Government Higher Secondary School, Milupara, Block Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Director Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
5. District Education Officer Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Dhani Ram Patel, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Shri Ravish Verma, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****03/12/2019**

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed to the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-II and the services of the petitioner were belonging to 'E' cadre. Thereafter he was promoted on the post of Lecturer

(Panchayat) and was absorbed in the Education Department in the tribal cadre local body by order dated 29.09.2018. It is contended that at the time of such change of cadre, the petitioner was not given any opportunity of hearing by counselling, therefore, only prayer is made that the petitioner has made a representation for change of cadre from 'T' cadre to 'E' cadre which may be directed to be decided.

3. Considering the entire facts of this case, the petitioner is given liberty to make afresh representation before the respondent No.3 canvassing all his grievances within a period of 3 weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the same shall be decided by the respondent No.3 within a further period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge