

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 10395 of 2019**

- Ramesh Kumar Urwasa S/o Late Shri Ganesh Ram Urwasa Aged About 65 Years Retired Peon, Higher Secondary School, Kumudkatta Tahsil And Block Dondi, District : Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Govt. Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, School Education Department, Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, Atal Road, P.S. Rakhi, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Principal Higher Secondary School, Kumurkatta, Tahsil And Block Dondi, District : Balod, Chhattisgarh
3. Block Education Officer Dondi, Block And Tahsil Dondi, District : Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For Respondent/ State	:	Mr. Kunal Das, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****10.12.2019**

Heard

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was the employee of work charged and contingency paid establishment, having been earlier appointed as daily wager and thereafter attained the status of temporary employee, in accordance with the Chhattisgarh (Work-Charged and Contingency Paid Employees) Pension Rules, 1979 (for short 'the Rules, 1979'). The petitioner was regularized on 09-09-2008 and thereafter retired on 31-05-2019.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the petitioner's past service, prior to the date of regularization, is not counted for the purposes of granting pension and as such, he has been declared ineligible for pension. Learned counsel would refer to the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court decided on 26-2-2015 in Writ Appeal No.281/13 and other connected matters, wherein this Court has held that in view of the State Government's instructions dated 2-3-2005, petitioners temporary service be taken into account to reckon pensionable service and the appellants of the said writ appeals were held entitled to pension under the Rules, 1979.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents would not dispute the legal decision as has been laid down by the Division Bench.
4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that on fresh representation being filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks, the respondents shall decide petitioner's entitlement to pension, in accordance with the law laid down by this Court in Writ Appeal No.281/13 within a further period of three months, subject to verification of facts or any other order passed by the Division Bench or the Supreme Court on the issue.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge