

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 4380 of 2019**

Ravindra Kumar Agrawal S/o Late Yadunandan Prasad Agrawal
Aged About 74 Years R/o Village Dhurrabandha, Tahsil Bhatapara,
District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Registrar Co - Operative Societies, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Committee Manager Prathamik Krishi Sakh Sahkari Samitee Maryadit Tehka District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
3. Sub Divisional (Revenue) Officer Bhatapara, District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
4. Tahsildar Bhatapara District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
5. Patwari Village Dhurrabandha, P.H. No. 44, Tahsil Bhatapara, District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
6. Collector Balodabazar Bhatapara, District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Prakash Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****03/12/2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the name of the petitioner was reflected in the list of the cultivators in village Dhurrabandha, P.H. No.44, Tehsil Bhatapara, however in the list of the cultivators for the year 2019-20, his name is not reflected.
2. According to the petitioner, he has already made an application in this regard to the respondent No.3, who has till date not taken a decision. According to the petitioner, the inaction on the part of the respondents in not deciding the application could lead to a situation where the petitioner would not be in a position to sell his paddy and therefore prayed for an early hearing of his application. According to

the petitioner, the land originally stood in the name of his mother and brother, who have since expired and the petitioner now inherited the said land.

3. Let the petitioner apprise the respondent No.3 in respect of all these developments by making a fresh representation and the respondent No.3 in turn shall consider and decide the same at the earliest preferably within a period of two weeks from the date the petitioner approaches the respondent No.3 along with all relevant records and documents.
4. The respondent No.3 is expected to take a decision subject to verification of the entitlement of the petitioner and also after verifying whether there are any other legal heirs, who would have inherited the property, against which the name of the petitioner is being sought to be registered and also the fact whether the petitioner has been cultivating the said land or not.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved