

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 8535 of 2018**

Smt. Kiran Sahu W/o Shri Uday Pratap Singh Sahu Aged About 30 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Girls Higher Secondary School Sarsiwa, Block Bilaigarh, District- Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat, Baloda Bazar, District- Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat, Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer Block Bilaigarh, District- Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For petitioner	:	Shri U.P.S. Sahu, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Salim Kazi, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

07/01/2019

1. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioner is being withdrawn on the ground that he has not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03.11.2017)** and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.
3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra).

4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.

5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

Rohit