

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 4327 of 2019**

1. D. Bairagi S/o D. Gouriyya Aged About 42 Years R/o Vidya Nagar, Near Police Petrol Pump, Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Vicky Panjwani S/o Late Shri Kanahiyya Panjwani Aged About 35 Years R/o Katora Talab, Near Shiv Mandir, Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Commerce And Industry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Registrar Firm And Societies, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Raipur Press Club Through Its President Mr. Damu Ambedare, Motibagh Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Verification Committee, Raipur Press Club, Motibagh Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. Prafulla Thakur Ex Vice President, Raipur Press Club, C/o Kailash Rao, Near Nirmal Memorial Hospital, Vikas Vihar, Raipur Chhattisgarh
6. Prashant Dubey Ex General Secretary Raipur Press Club, Motibagh Raipur, R/o New Sheetla Colony, Near Ram Janki Samudayik Bhawan, Kushalpur, Raipur Chhattisgarh
7. Gaurav Sharma Ex - Secretary, Raipur Press Club, Motibagh, R/o Gaurav Sthal, Parshuram Nagar, Professor Colony, Raipur Chhattisgarh
8. Ankita Sharma Ex Secretary Raipur Press Club, Motibagh Raipur, R/o C - 85, Samta Colony, Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Rohitashva Singh, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Ayaz Naved, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board**

29/11/2019

1. The dispute seems to be in respect of annual elections held by the respondent No.3.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that there have been a large scale of irregularities in conducting of the elections in the previous years and in this regard they have already approached the Registrar, Firms and Society i.e. respondent No.2.
3. The petitioner wants a direction to the respondent No.2 to take appropriate steps and direct the respondent No.4 for proper verification of records and to conduct the elections in accordance with the by laws governing the field.
4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner which they have raised by vide Annexure P-4 and pass appropriate orders or appropriate steps be taken in accordance with law.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit