

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 9426 of 2019

1. Mrs. Saloni Shandilya W/o Mr. Amit Shandilya Aged About 31 Years Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government High School Kumhankhar, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh
2. Miss. Rukhmani Chhata D/o Mr. Sitaram Chhata Aged About 37 Years Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Largaon Markatola, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh
3. Mrs. Triveni Bhuwarya W/o Mr. Ashok Bhuwarya Aged About 40 Years Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Girls Higher Secondary School Sarona, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh
4. Mrs. Kiran Markam W/o Mr. Gopinath Markam Aged About 38 Years Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Sarona, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh
5. Satish Kumar Sahu S/o Mr. Nathuram Sahu Aged About 44 Years Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Upper Primary School Devri Balaji, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh

--- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh through The Secretary, Education Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Commissioner Cum Director Panchayat Sanchnalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat, District Uttar Baster Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondents

For the Petitioners : Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

19-11-2019

1. The limited grievance which the petitioners have raised in this writ petition is that by virtue of order passed in WPS No.2530 of 2017

(Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another) decided on 26.11.2017 the petitioners have been granted the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioners prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioners were entitled from the date of their completion of 8 years but no effective orders have been passed nor has department taken any steps for release of the arrears of payment. The petitioners pray for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.
3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of *Mukesh Patel (supra)* which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that the respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents no.2 & 3 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioner is entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
GOUTAM BHADURI
JUDGE