

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 9313 of 2019**

Rakesh Kumar Bhatt, S/o. Late Shri Aasa Ram Bhatt, Aged About 64 Years,
R/o. Street 4 H. No. 590/B Smriti Nagar Colony Bhilai, District Durg
Chhattisgarh

--- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of Home/Police Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Office Rakhi, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Regional Joint Director, Treasury, Accounts And Pension Department, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Inspector General Of Police, Shankar Nagar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Superintendent Of Police, Office Of Superintendent Of Police Mahasamund District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

-- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Shikhar Bhaktiyar, Advocate
For Respondents	: Ms. Akanksha Jain, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order on Board**

15.11.2019

1. The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for a direction to the respondents to grant pensionary benefits by adding one increment which the petitioner was entitled for, on continuous working for one year before the date of retirement.
2. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner was working as Addl. S.P. retired on 30.06.2017 and was entitled for the benefit of one increment from 1st July. According to counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner was entitled for increment for the services rendered in the previous year and therefore have earned the said benefit and while granting pensionary benefits, that one increment which fell due on working for one year before the retirement in the previous year, should have been added for the purpose of determining pension and pensionary benefits.

3. Given the aforesaid submission, at this stage, the petitioner is given liberty to make a representation for addition of one annual increment to the last wages drawn by the petitioner for determination of pension and reitral dues and if such representation is made within a month, the same would be decided by the respondents within an outer limit of 90 days.
4. With the above observation, this writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
GOUTAM BHADURI
JUDGE

ashok