

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 9276 of 2019**

- M. R. Kujur, S/o. Late Shri Nainsukh Kujur, Aged About 64 Years, Sewanivritt Sahayak Samparikshak Karyalay Upsanchalak Sthaniya Nidhi Sampariksha Jagdalpur, Niwasi- Sagardeep Enclave Uslapur Jila- Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through- Sachiv, Samanya Prashasan Vibhag, Shashkiya Karmchari Kalyan Shakha Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Sanchalak Sanchalanalay-Sthaniya Nidhi Sampariksha Indravati Bhawan Atalnagar Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Up Sanchalak Sthaniya Nidhi Sampariksha Karyalay Jagdalpur Jila Jagdalpur Chhattisgarh
4. Sanyukt Sanchalak Karyalay Sambhagiya Sanyukt Sanchalak Kosh, Lekha Evm Pension Bastar Sambhag Jagdalpur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Rajendra Kumar Patel, Advocate
For Respondents/ State	:	Shri Amrito Das, Addl A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****14/11/2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for grant of the benefit of one annual increment while fixing pension and other retiral dues payable to the petitioner.
2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner has retired from service on 30th of June, 2017. Since he has retired from service w.e.f. 30.06.2017, he would be entitled for the annual increment that would be payable to him for the period between 1st of June, 2016 to 30th of June, 2017 as the date of annual

increment payable to the petitioner is 1st of July. Therefore, according to the counsel for the petitioner, while quantifying pension and other retiral dues, the annual increment which the petitioner became entitled for having worked till 30th June, 2017 has to be added to the last pay and other allowances also.

3. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents 1 to 3 consider the case of the petitioner for grant of one annual increment to the last salary which the petitioner has received for the purpose of quantifying pension and other retiral dues. While deciding the claim of the petitioner, the respondents shall take into consideration the judgment of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in the case of *P. Ayyamperumal vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and others* decided on 15.09.2017 in W. P. No. 15732 of 2017.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge

Jyoti