

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 9072 OF 2019

Shivnath Singh S/o Nohari Singh Khairwar, Aged About 41 Years R/o. Village Lodha, Post Lodha, P.S. Chandrapur, Block Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Ramanujanj Chhattisgarh **--- Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, School Education Department, State of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector, District Balrampur Ramanujanj
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Ramanujanj, Chhattisgarh
5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Lodha, Block Ramchandrapur District Balrampur Ramanujanj **--- Respondents**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Basant Kaiwartya, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Priyank Rathi, Panel lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

07-11-2019

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised in the instant writ petition is that he had worked as Guruji between 01.07.2005 to 30.04.2007 when his services were discontinued.
2. According to the petitioner, some similarly placed persons subsequently had filed a writ petition which stood disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners therein. According to the petitioner, while deciding the representation of those persons, the respondents have granted an order of appointment in their favour which has prompted the

present petitioner to approach this Court now.

3. On a query being made to counsel for the petitioner, he states that he is unaware of the educational qualification of the persons who have been appointed and were similarly placed. The petitioner was also unable to produce any document with which it could be established that without even fulfilling the minimum eligibility criteria whether the petitioner could have been granted appointment as a Shiksha Karmi under the respondents.
4. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, since the petitioner has made a representation to the authorities, let the authority concerned take a decision on the representation of the petitioner in accordance with its merit.
5. It is made clear this this Court has not expressed any opinion on the entitlement of the petitioner or on the merit of the case. The authorities are expected to pass an order purely in accordance with the rules and regulations governing the field and take a decision on the representation of the petitioner within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
6. This writ petition accordingly stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

GOUTAM BHADURI
JUDGE