

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 3727 of 2019

Jubeen Dubey S/o Shri Pradip Kumar Dubey Aged About 26 Years R/o Ward Number 27, Behind Bus Station, Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

--- Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Chief Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Secretary Home Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Union of India Through Secretary, Home Affairs, Government Of India, V I P Security Unit, Room Number 8, 2nd Floor, M D C National Stadium, India Gate Circle, New Delhi.
4. Director General of Police, D.G.P. Office, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Superintendent of Police S.P. Office Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Satyam Shivam Sundaram Shukla, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Ashutosh Mishra, Panel Lawyer.
For Respondent 3	:	Shri Vaibhav P Shukla, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

18.10.2019

1. The contention of the petitioner is that, the petitioner have been rendering his services to the Cyber Security Field under the respondents for last many years, but he has not been paid any amount whatsoever.
2. This petition has been filed seeking for an appropriate direction to the respondents to firstly issue him experience certificate; secondly to grant him appropriate reward for the services rendered and thirdly to issue an appropriate direction to the respondents ensuring that life of the petitioner is protected as there is threat of life.
3. Perusal of record would show that whatever services that the petitioner might have rendered to the respondents till date, all are voluntarily. This court does not find any agreement or contract entered into between any of

the respondents with the petitioner. In the absence of any agreement or contract, it is difficult to direct the respondents for the relief that the petitioner has sought for.

4. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, reserving the right of the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities on the administrative side, the present writ petition in its present form stands disposed of. In the event, if the petitioner approaches the authorities concerned, they may consider the grievance of the petitioner at the earliest.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder