

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WA No. 308 of 2017**

Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd. Through The General Manager,
Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd. Daganiga, Raipur, District
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Appellant**Versus**

Rahul Tripathi S/o Late Pankaj Kumar Tripathi, R/o Near Primary School, In Front
Of Mudapar, S.E.C.L., Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent**WA No. 309 of 2017**

Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd. Through The General Manager,
Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd. Daganiya, Raipur, District
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Appellant**Versus**

Rahul Thakre S/o Late D.H. Thakre, R/o E W S - 91, Nehru Nagar, Bilaspur,
District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent**WA No. 316 of 2017**

Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd. Through The General Manager,
Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd. Daganiya, Raipur, District
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Appellant**Versus**

Ajay Kumar Soni S/o Late Bharat Prasad Soni, R/o Ramayan Chowk, Chantidih,
Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Appellants	: Shri Apurv Goyal, Advocate.
For respective Respondents	: Dr. N.K. Shukla, Senior Advocate with Shri Ajay Lakra, Shri Ashutosh Shukla and Shri Avinash Singh, Advocates.

Hon'ble Shri Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Judgment on Board**12/03/2019****Per Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. The writ applications are of the year 2016. The writ applications are still pending for final adjudication. While hearing the matter at the threshold, the learned Single Judge passed an interim order and he refused to vacate it subsequently on 22.06.2017, which led to filing of the present appeals.

3. It will be in the interest of one and all including justice that on priority, the writ applications are now heard and decided on merit itself.

4. The writ appeals are disposed off with an expectation that the learned Single Judge on a request being made by the counsel for the Appellants will fix a date, hear the writ applications on merit and pass the necessary order.

5. In view of the above, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the decision of the learned Single Judge refusing to vacate the interim order.

Sd/-

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE