

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 8659 of 2019**

Smt. Gauri Shukla (Sharma), W/o. Shri Rajendra Sharma, Aged About 46 Years, Assistant Teacher L.B., R/o. Ward No. 46, Mathpara, Bilaspur Road, Post Ambikapur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector, Surguja, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh
3. The District Education Officer, Surguja, District Surguja Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Block Ambikapur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Punit Ruparel, Advocate
For State/Respondents	:	Mr. Soumya Rai, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****21.10.2019**

Heard

1. Grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has been transferred by an order dated 12.07.2019 from Govt. Primary School Laxmipur, Block Ambikapur to Govt. Primary School, Kuberpur, Block Ambikapur, District Surguja.
2. It is contended that the petitioner is a Science Teacher and by transfer to other School, she would be required to teach Maths, therefore, it may cause hardship to the students as there is already Science Teacher existing in the transferred School.
3. Perused the transfer order, which do not speaks about stream for which the petitioner has been transferred. The School is a Primary School and the petitioner cannot claim herself that she is the only Science Teacher

available in the School. The contention of petitioner that on her transfer to another School where she would be required to teach Maths, which may cause loss to the student is completely misconceived. There is nothing on record to substantiate those facts. Considering the fact that the transfer is made from one School to another School that too in the same Block and same District and the nature of School is primary, transfer being the incident of service, I do not find any prejudice caused to the petitioner, which requires any interference by this Court.

4. The petition has no merit and accordingly it is dismissed.

Sd/-
Goutam Bhaduri
Judge

ashok