

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CONT No. 939 of 2018**

1. Shayam Kishor Dewedy, S/o Shri H. L. Dewedy, Aged About 54 Years Store Helper, Ambikapur, Depot Presently Posted In R. T. O. Koriya, P. S. Koriya, Baikunthpur, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Shailbala Martin, Managing Director, M. P. Road Transport Corporation, H. O. Habibganj, Bhopal Madhya Pradesh.

---- Respondent**CONT No. 1249 of 2018**

1. Mohd. Iqbal, S/o Late Shri Abdul Karim, Aged About 65 Years Driver, Baloda Bazar Depot, R/o H. No. 90, R.D.A. Colony, Tikrapara, Raipur, P.S. Tikrapara, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.,

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Shailbala Martin, Managing Director, M.P. Road Transport Corporation, H.O. Habibganj, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioner

Shri Palash Agrawal, Advocate on
behalf of Shri Vivek Kumar Agrawal,
Advocate

For Respondent

Ms. Neha Shukla. Advocate

Order On Board**By****Prashant Kumar Mishra**

22/01/2019

1. Heard.
2. While dealing with the similar issue in writ petitions and contempt petitions arising therefrom, a Coordinate Bench of this Court has passed the following order on 1.11.2018 in the said contempt petitions i.e. Cont No.255 of 2018 and other connected petitions :

“1. Ms. Shailbala Martin, the contemnor, who is present before the Court in person at the outset has submitted that in order to make payment of dues to the petitioners the interest are being calculated fairly submits that the entire efforts have been made to get the funds from the finance department and because of the ensuing election, there has been some delay in release of funds. The submission is made before the Court that within a period of three months the legitimate dues with calculation would be settled.

2. As appears as of now the reasons pointed out by the contemnor appears that no deliberate efforts are made for not honouring the dues to the petitioners. Considering the statement made in the Court, the petitions are disposed of with a direction to make the payment within a further period of three months from today. It is further made clear that if the payments are not released then the petitioners would be at liberty to approach the Court again and may revive their claim. The petitions are disposed of only on the statement made on behalf of the Managing Director, who is present in person before the Court.”

3. Upon hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, the present contempt petitions are also disposed of in the same terms.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra