

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 8361 of 2019**

- Prashant Kumar Sharma, S/o Lakhan Lal Sharma, Aged About 35 Years, Presently Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Govt. Middle School, Chachedi, Block Kawardha, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya P.S. Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary Department Of Education, Mantralaya, P.S. Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kabirdham, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer, Kabirdham, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Executiv Officer Janpad Panchayat, Kawardha, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
6. Block Education Officer Kawardha, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri C. Jayant K. Rao Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Ms. Beenu Sharma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****15/10/2019**

1. The limited prayer which the petitioner have sought in this writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioner for grant of two advance increments on their obtaining B.Ed./D.Ed. certificate both prior to appointment as well as subsequent to the appointment which is being otherwise provided to the Govt. teachers.

2. The claim of the petitioner is based on the fact that the nature of duties discharged by them as Shiksha Karmis are identical and similar to the government teachers and their services have also now got merged with the Education Department. Therefore, the benefits which are being extended to the government teachers should also be extended to the petitioners. The petitioners in this regard have made representations to the respondents which are collectively marked in the petition as Annexure P-4.
3. Given the nature of dispute and also the fact that the claim of the petitioners would require a policy decision on the part of the State Govt., this court does not intend to keep the petition pending any further, rather ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 & 2 to take a decision in this regard as to whether the petitioner would also be entitled for similar benefits as are being granted to the regular government teachers. It is ordered accordingly. Let this exercise be concluded within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to bring the order of this Court to the notice of respondents 1 & 2 along with any fresh representation, if they so prefer.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioner are concerned. The Respondents shall be at liberty to take a decision independently.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge