

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 8373 of 2019**

Ishwari Prasad Pandey, S/o. Late Shri Bishahu Prasad Pandey, Aged About 64 Years, Retired Assistant Grade - 1, Sanchalanalaya Machhali Palan Chhattisgarh, R/o.J-50 Housing Board Colony Tilaknagar Gudhiyari Raipur District - Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Samanya Prashasan Vibhag, Government Employee Kalyan Shakha Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Sachiv, Machhali Palan Vibhag Chhattisgarh Government Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Sanchalak Sanchalanalay Chhattisgarh Machhali Palan Vibhag Indravati Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Sambhagiya Sanyukt Sanchalak Kosh Lekha And Pension Raipur Sambhag District - Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Rajendra Kumar Patel, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order on Board****15.10.2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for grant of the benefit of one annual increment while fixing pension and other retiral dues payable to the petitioner.
2. According to the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner retired from service on 30.06.2013. Since the petitioner retired from service w.e.f. 30.06.2013, he would be entitled for the annual increment that would be payable to him for the period between 01.06.2012 to 30.06.2013 as the date of annual increment payable to the petitioners is 1st of July. Therefore, according to the counsel for the petitioner, while quantifying pension and other retiral dues, the annual increment which the petitioner

became entitled for having worked till 30.06.2013 has to be added to the last pay and other allowances also.

3. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents 1 to 3 consider the case of the petitioner for grant of one annual increment to the last salary which the petitioner has received for the purpose of quantifying pension and other retiral dues. While deciding the claim of the petitioner, the respondents shall take into consideration the judgment of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in the case of P. Ayyamperumal vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and others decided on 15.09.2017 in W. P. No. 15732 of 2017.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

Ashok