

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS. No. 8269 OF 2019**

Dr. Komal Singh Suman S/o Baburam Suman Aged About 33 Years
Working On The Post Of Adhoc Assistant Professor Department Of
Zoology Guru Ghasidas Central University Bilaspur District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh **---- Petitioner**

Versus

1. Guru Ghasidas Central University Through Its The Vice Chancellor,
Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
2. The Registrar Gurughasidas Central University Koni, Bilaspur District
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
3. The Dean School Of Life Science, Gurughasidas Central University, Koni
Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
4. The University Of Grant Commission Through Its Secretary Bahadur Shah
Zafar Marg New Delhi 110002 India,
5. The Council Of Scientific And Industrial Research Through Its Deputy
Secretary, CSIR Complex, Library Avenue Pusa New Delhi Pin 110012,
---Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravi Maheshwari, Adv.
For Respondents	:	Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Adv

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****01.10.2019**

1. Counsel for the petitioner prays for carrying out the default during
the course of the day.
2. Not opposed.
3. The oral request stands allowed.
4. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order passed by
the respondents for interview dated 23.09.2019, whereby the
petitioner has been found ineligible for interview.
5. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner has been
wrongly disqualified by the respondents, for the reason, that firstly
the petitioner has worked as an Assistant Professor in Zoology
Subject in the same University as a Guest Faculty and therefore it
has to be presumed that the petitioner has all qualification required
for the said post. The second ground of the petitioner is that the

course in which the petitioner has done his Post Graduation is equivalent to the course of Zoology and for this reason also, the respondents could not have declared the petitioner to be disqualified.

6. Perusal of records would show that as per the requirement for the department, the petitioner was supposed to have master degree with 53 % marks in the concerned subject or qualification in allied equivalent subject. The petitioner, admittedly, is a candidate who has not done master's course in Zoology. The petitioner has done his master course in Neuroscience. There is no documents available with the writ petition published by either UGC or by any other Institution who has held that master degree in Neuroscience is equivalent to the master degree in Zoology or it is an allied subject, by which the candidate who has a master degree in Neuroscience would be eligible to appear and participate for selection process where the qualification of masters in zoology is required.
7. In the absence of any such circular, document issued either by the State Government or by the University or even by the UGC which regulates the courses, this Court does not find that the petitioner has an equivalent qualification of master degree in Zoology subject.
8. Given the said facts, this Court does not find a strong case made out by the petitioner, accordingly, the writ petition stands rejected.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge