

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPC No. 1501 of 2016

Ambuja Cements Limited A Company Incorporated Under Companies Act 1956 Having Its Corporate Office At Elegant Business Park, M I D C Cross Road B, Off Andheri Kurla Road, Andheri E, Mumbai 400059 And Registered Office At P.O. Ambuja Nagar, Taluka Kodinar, District Junagarh (Gujarat) And Having Its Manufacturing Unit At Village And Post Rawan, Civil And Revenue District Baloda Bazar Chhattisgarh Through Its Authorised Signatory.

--- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Mines And Mineral Resources, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh,
2. The Secretary, Department Of Energy, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector (Mining Branch), District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
4. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Tahsil Gharghoda, District, Raigarh Chhattisgarh,
5. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Ltd, (A Successor Company Of Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board), Through Executive Director, (B R), Tifra, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh,
6. The Superintending Engineer (O And M), Circle, Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Co. Ltd., Raigarh Chhattisgarh
7. The Executive Engineer (O And M) Division No. 1, Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Co. Ltd., Raigarh Chhattisgarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
8. Superintendent Of Police, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh,
9. Station House Officer, Police Station, Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh,
10. Hindalco Industries Limited, Gare Palma I V/ 4 And I V/5, Vill. And Post Milupara, Tahsil Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh Through Its Sr. Vice President.
11. Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Coal, Shastri Bhawan, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road, New Delhi.

----Respondents

16/07/2019	Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Alok Bakshi, Addl. A.G. for the State. Shri Varun Sharma, counsel for respondents No.5 to 7.

Shri Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for respondent No.10.

Shri P. Chetan Kumar, counsel for Union of India.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of this petition settlement has been arrived at in between the parties, therefore at present petitioner do not want to press this petition. He submits that liberty may be given to the petitioner to revive the petition, if cause of action arises in future.

Prayer is allowed.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as not pressed. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the petition, if cause of action arises in future.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)

JUDGE