

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 8572 of 2019**

1. Ashish Kumar Sonwani, S/o Mr. M.R. Sonwani, Aged About 32 Years, Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Bondanar, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.
2. Manoj Kumar Dhruv, S/o Mr. Sane Singh Dhruv, Aged About 42 Years, Post Teacher (Local Body), Posted At Govt. Upper Primary School Amodi, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.
3. Mrs. Amrita Kunjam, W/o Mr. Pawan Kumar Kunjam, Aged About 33 Years, Post Teacher (Local Body), Posted At Govt. Upper Primary School Amodi, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.
4. Beer Singh Gawde, S/o Mr. Suddhu Ram Gawde, Aged About 36 Years, Post Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Karregaon, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.
5. Ganesh Ram Markam, S/o Mr. Bajnath Markam, Aged About 40 Years, Post Teacher (Local Body), Posted At Govt. Upper Primary School Pusagaon, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Education Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh.
5. Block Education Officer Block Antagarh, District U.B. Kanker Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shalvik Tiwari, Advocate
For Respondents/ State	:	Shri Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

14/11/2019

1. Heard.
2. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised in the present writ petition by virtue of order passed by this Court in the case of ***Mukesh Kumar Patel Vs. State of Chhattisgarh***, WPS No. 2530/2017 decided on 26.11.2017 have been granted benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.
3. The counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioner prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioner is entitled for from the date of his completion of 8 years of service but no effective orders have been passed nor have department taken any steps for release of the arrears of payment. The petitioner prays for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.
4. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of Mukesh Patel (Supra) which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents No. 2 & 3 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioner is entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge