

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Criminal Revision No.1172 of 2018**

Avadhram, S/o Motiram, aged about 50 years, Caste Satnami, R/o Village Basti Baradwar, Tahsil Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Applicant

versus

1. Smt. Phuleshwari Bai, W/o Avadhram, aged about 45 years,
2. Chandrashekhar, S/o Avadhram, aged about 17 years,
3. Ku. Kritika, D/o Avadhram, aged about 15 years, minor through natural guardian mother Smt. Phuleshwari Bai, W/o Avadhram,

All R/o Village Basti Baradwar, Caste Satnami, Police Station Baradwar, Tahsil Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

--- Respondents

For Applicant	:	Shri Krishna Kumar Dewangan, Advocate
For Respondents	:	None

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Singh Chandel**Order on Board**

18.1.2019

1. Heard on admission. Also perused the record.
2. This revision has been preferred against the order dated 13.7.2018 passed by the Judge, Link Family Court, Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa in M.Cr.C. No.78 of 2017, whereby the Family Court has granted monthly maintenance of Rs.1,500/- for each of the Respondents, total Rs.4,500/-.
3. Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant submits that this revision has been preferred only with regard to quantum of amount of the maintenance. He submits that it has been admitted by Respondent No.1/wife that the Respondents are still residing in the house of the Applicant, but in a separate room of the house. He further submits that looking to the financial status of the Applicant, the grant of maintenance to the Respondents is on higher side and

deserves to be reduced.

4. From the evidence available on record, it is clear that the Respondents are still residing in the house of the Applicant, but in a separate room of the house. It has been admitted by the Applicant that for the last 5 years, he has not been maintaining the Respondents. He has also admitted the fact that he owns 3 acres of agricultural land and also owns a haller machine. Looking to the above, the monthly maintenance granted to the Respondents is just and proper.
5. Consequently, I find no merit in the revision. It is, therefore, dismissed.
6. Record of the Court below be sent back along with a copy of this order for information and necessary compliance.

Sd/-
(Arvind Singh Chandel)
Judge