

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 3349 of 2019

Md. Imtiyaz, S/o. Lt. Izril, Aged About 48 Years, R/o. Village Purandih, Police Station & Tahsil Ramanujganj, District Balrampur - Ramnujganj (C.G.) Present R/o. Ramuniganj Ward No. 40, Tahsil Ramanujganj, District Balrampur – Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Revenue, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector, Balrampur Ramnujganj, Chhattisgarh.
3. Sub - Divisional Officer (Revenue) Ramanujganj, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
4. Tahsildar, Ramanujganj, District Balrampur – Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.
5. Village Panchayat Purandih, Through the Sarpanch, District Balrampur - Ramnujganj Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. V.K.Pandey, Advocate
----------------	---	--------------------------

For State/ Respondents No.1 to 4	:	Ms. Richa Shukla, Govt. Advocate
-------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

26.09.2019

Heard

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's mother was recorded owner of land bearing Khasra No.227 admeasuring 1.22 hectare situated at Village Purandih, P.C. No.29. It is contended that the settlement was carried out in the year 1995 and because of certain mistake, the name of the petitioner's mother was deleted which subsequently came to the notice when the respondents started to construct the Godown over the part of the land. It is stated that the petitioner was in possession of the said land, as such, there was no occasion to inspect the revenue records. It is further submitted that the petitioner has already made an application under Section 89 of the Land Revenue Code for correction of the error in the revenue

records, which is pending before the S.D.O. and has not been decided till date.

2. Considering the limited prayer of the petitioner, since Section 89 of the Land Revenue Code empowers the S.D.O. to make any correction which has occurred on the settlement and the copy of the revenue records which the petitioner has placed along with the petition to show the ownership of the land bearing Khasra No.227 and further considering the fact that the application is pending, the concerned S.D.O./ Respondent No.3 is directed to decide the application of the petitioner under Section 89 within a further period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
3. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

ashok

Sd/-
Goutam Bhaduri
Judge