

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CRR No. 1143 of 2019**

- Vijay Ratre S/o Shri Jitendra Ratre, Aged About 17 Year And 6 Month, R/o Jugesar, Police Station Mandir Hasaud, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, Through His Natural Guardian Father Jitendra Ratre, Son Of Shri Kartik Ratre, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Resident Of Jugesar, Police Station Mandir Hasaud, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Station House Officer, Police Station Vidhan Sabha, Raipur, Civil And Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Shri Pushpendra K. Patel, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Anurag Verma, PL.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey
Order on Board

03/10/2019

1. The present revision has been preferred under Section 102 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (in short 'the Act 2015') against the order dated 04.09.2019 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 422/2019 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, (F.T.C.) Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.), whereby the learned Sessions Judge has rejected the appeal arising out of order dated 19.08.2019 passed in Crime No. 243/2019 dismissing the bail application of the present applicant by the Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.
2. This is the revision petition filed by the accused, who is juvenile. The

prosecution story in brief is that mother of the prosecutrix has lodged missing report at the concerned police station Vidhan Sabha, Raipur alleging that the prosecutrix has gone somewhere without any information. She searched at the house of relatives for the prosecutrix, but did not get any information, thereafter, a report was lodged against the applicant. It is stated that on the date of incident the present applicant was juvenile, he was sent to juvenile home on 04.09.2019. He filed an application under Section 12 of the Juvenile Justice Act for grant of bail, which was dismissed. Against the said order of dismissal, an appeal was preferred which was also dismissed. Hence, this revision.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the present case, the Juvenile Justice Board as well as the Appellate Court have completely ignored to consider the statutory scheme of Section 12 of the Act of 2015 which itself is *pari materia* of Section 12 of the Act of 2000 while considering the application for grant of bail under Section 12 of the Act of 2015. He further submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has no criminal background. Orders passed by both the Courts below are improper and contrary to the law. In view of provision contained in Section 12 of the Juvenile Justice Act, the applicant deserves to be released on bail. The applicant is in Jail since 04.09.2019 and he has completed more than 3 months in custody, therefore, he may be extended benefit of bail.
4. Counsel for the State submits that the order passed by the two Courts below being fully justified and in accordance with the provisions of Section 12 of the Act does not warrant any interference and the instant revision deserves to be set aside.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. On perusal of the record I do not find any reasonable ground having been brought before the Juvenile Justice Board or the Police Authorities in respect of the so called threat of the juvenile getting exposed to moral, physical or psychological danger or come in the company of known criminal.
6. In view of above consideration, the impugned order dated 04.09.2019 could not be sustained and is therefore, set aside. The application under Section 12 of the Act of 2015 is allowed. The applicant shall be released on bail forthwith on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/-, by the parents or guardians of the applicant, as the case may be, to the satisfaction of the Juvenile Justice Board for his appearance before the Board, as and when directed.
7. The revision is accordingly allowed.

Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge