

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 7950 of 2019**

1. Anil Kumar Baghel, S/o Mohan Lal Baghel, Aged About 37 Years, Presently Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Govt. High School, Chhote Pandarmuda, Block: Kharsiya, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Department Of Education, Mantralya Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Commissioner-Cum-Director, Director Of Panchayat, Atal Nagar Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Director, Public Instructions, Directorate Indrawati Bhawan Atal Nagar Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
6. District Education Officer, Raigarh Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
7. District Treasury Officer, Raigarh Raigarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
8. Block Education Officer, Kharsiya Kharsiya, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. C.Jayant K. Rao, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order On Board

30.09.2019

1. The limited grievance of the petitioner is that he is entitled for the revised pay-scale from January, 2019 ie., the period on which he completes 8 years of service and entailing him for revised pay-scale but the same has been extended to the petitioner from May, 2019 as such the petitioner seeks for a direction to the respondents to consider granting the benefit from the back date from January 2019 onwards.
2. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the matter stands disposed off with a direction to the Respondents No. 5 and 6 to take necessary steps to pass an appropriate order, so far as deciding as to why the petitioner would not be entitled for the benefit of revised pay-scale from the date he/she completes 8 years of service ie., from January, 2019 onwards.
3. Let the Respondents No. 5 and 6 pass an appropriate order at the earliest, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this Order.
4. It is the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the Respondent No. 5 and 6, so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the present Writ Petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge