

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 7591 of 2019**

Muralidhar Chakradhari S/o Late Shri Jaikaran Aged About 51 Years
Lecturer Posted At Government Higher Secondary School, Basdei,
District- Surajpur, Chhattisgarh, R/o Village Koteya, Post Salka (Aghina),
Tahsil Bhaiyathan, District- Surajpur, Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, T, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director Public Education Directorate, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer Surajpur, District- Surajpur, Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
4. Principal Government Higher Secondary School, Basdei, District- Surajpur, Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Meena Shastri, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. P. Acharya, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****17/09/2019**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order of transfer dated 21.08.2019 passed by the respondents transferring the petitioner from Basdei District- Surajpur to Darripara, District Gariyaband.
2. The challenge to the transfer order is on the ground that the impugned order reflects the transfer of the petitioner to have been made at the request of the petitioner.

3. According to counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has never moved any application nor has requested for any order of transfer, yet the authorities have shown the order of transfer to have been on its own cost.
4. Given the limited grievance that the petitioner has raised challenging the order of transfer, this Court is of the opinion that subject to verification of the fact that whether the petitioner has made a request for transfer, the impugned order so far as the petitioner is concerned, shall not be given effect to. However, on verification if it is found that the petitioner has in fact made a request, then the impugned order would not stand interfered and the respondents would be at liberty to relieve the petitioner from the present place of posting.
5. Meanwhile, till the verification is done, the effect and operation of the impugned order, so far as the petitioner is concerned, shall not be given effect to.
6. The present Writ Petition, accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit