NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 7860 OF 2019

• Shrawan Kumar Sahu, S/o Late Shri Budhman Lal Sahu, aged about 65 years, Retired Internal Accounts and Taxation Officer, R/o Mandar, Post Dharseva, District Raipur (CG)

… Petitioner

versus

1. Accountant General (Accounts & Entitlement), Office of the Accountant General, Zero Point, Balodabazar Road, Raipur (CG)
2. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Police Station Rakhi, New Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
3. Joint Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Directorate, Indrawati Bhawan, Police Station Rakhi, New Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Office of the Janpad Panchayat, Bhatapara, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (CG)

… Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. D.R. Minj, Advocate.
For Respondent No.1	:	Ms. Soniya Kuldeep, Advocate, under instructions of Mr. Bhupendra Narayan Singh, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. P. Acharya, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

26/09/2019

1. The present is a second round of litigation. The earlier round of litigation was decided by this Court on 12.11.2018 in Writ Petition (S) No. 7363 of 2018.

2. This Court vide the said order dated 12.11.2018 disposed of the said writ petition by making the following observations :

> **"**3. Considering the fact that it is a dispute so far as GPF account is concerned and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner retired from service on 31.05.2016, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending, rather ends of justice would serve if the petitioner is directed to approach the respondent no.1 along with all relevant documents in respect of GPF entries available with him. It is further directed that respondent no.4 under whom the petitioner had worked shall make available all the documents in respect of GPF account of the petitioner including the periodical withdrawals and entries made to respondent no.1 for proper verification of the records. While doing so the petitioner would also be taken into confidence and a formal order be passed by the Department within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The respondent no.4 is also directed to ensure that all necessary cooperation would be rendered by collecting all necessary documents and records from the concerned authorities and providing the same to respondent no.1 for proper redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.**"**

3. Now the petitioner has come up with a contention that in spite of the specific directions given by this Court the respondent no.1 and respondent no.4 have been repeatedly writing letters to respondent no.3 for providing necessary records so far as the GPF account of the petitioner is concerned by which the GPF account can be cross-tallied by the records maintained by the employer and the Accountant General, but in the absence of any support from the office of respondent no.3 the compliance of the directions of this Court could not be made.

4. Given the said limited dispute that the petitioner has raised, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petition itself can be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.3 in particular to ensure that the GPF account and all related documents in respect of the petitioner is made available to the office of respondent no.4 who in turn shall place it before respondent no.1 for cross-tallying the GPF account of the petitioner and for settlement after due assessment being made.

5. Let this exercise be completed within a stipulated period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of with the aforesaid direction.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

/sharad/