

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 7350 of 2019**

Smt. Angeshwari Sinha W/o Shri Vinod Sinha Aged About 36 Years
Occupation Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Sarkanda, Block
Pithaura, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Collector Mahasamund District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer Mahasamund District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Shailendi Sahu Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Ghoghra, Block Pithaura, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****11/09/2019**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order Annexure P/1 dated 12.07.2019, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from the Government Primary School Ghoghra, Block Pithaura, District Mahasamund to Government Primary School Sarkada, District Mahasamund and Govt. Primary School Bhidhidih, Block Pithaura, District Mahasamund.
2. Without entering into the merits of the case, the counsel for the petitioner wants that the petitioner may be permitted to make a representation to the respondents raising the objections and

grievances on her being transferred and the respondents in turn may decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

3. Not opposed.
4. Accordingly, the instant Writ Petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner if she so chooses may prefer a detailed representation to the respondents, which in turn considering the fact that it is a case of transfer, the respondents may decide it as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
5. While deciding the representation, the respondent authorities would consider and decide the actual place of posting of the respondent No.4, which in the impugned order at serial No. 38 reflects two places.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved