

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 6402 of 2018**

Rosemin Rajesh Xaxa, S/o Shri Marcus Xaxa, aged about 47 years, Civil Judge Class – II, Posted at Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur- Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh.

**----Petitioner****Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary to the Government of Chhattisgarh, Department of Law and Legislative Mantralaya : Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur : PS- Rakhi, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. High Court of Chhattisgarh, Through the Registrar General, High Court of Chhattisgarh Bodri, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

**---Respondents**


---

|                      |   |                                                 |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | : | Mr. Sudeep Verma, Advocate                      |
| For Respondent No. 1 | : | Mr. R.S. Marhas, Advocate                       |
| For State            | : | Mr. Siddharth Dubey, Deputy Government Advocate |

---

**Hon'ble Shri P.R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice**  
**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**

**Order On Board****Per, P.R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice****26.06.2019**

1. The prayers of the petitioner are in the following terms :-

(1) quashing the provision of Rule 5 (1) (c) read with Rule 7 (I) (c) of Rules 2006 to the extent by which it provide the way for the direct recruitment on the post of District Judge (Entry Level) 'only to the Advocate who has been practice of seven years'.

(2) quashing the eligibility as prescribed in clause “Two (a) (iv)” in the advertisement dated 15/05/2018 issued for Direct Recruitment for District Judge (Entry Level) Examination 2018.

(3) direct the Respondent to suitably amend the Rules 2006 as to provide the way to the Judicial Officer for consideration of their candidature for the post of District Judge (Entry Level) through direct recruitment.

(4) direct the Respondent to consider the candidature of the Petitioner for the post of District Judge (Entry Level) as advertise through advertisement dated 15/05/2018.

2. When the matter is taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the writ petition.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

**Sd/-**  
(P.R. Ramachandra Menon)  
Chief Justice

**Sd/-**  
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)  
Judge