

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 7016 of 2019**

Kamalnarayan Neval S/o Late Gopal Prasad Neval Aged About 61 Years
 Present Working As Head Master, Government Primary School
 Khangarpat (Singarpat), Berla, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh., District :
 Bemetara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Post Office Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director Department Of School Education, Indravati Bhawan, Post Office Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Under Secretary Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Post Office Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. The District Education Officer Bemetara, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh., District : Bemetara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Shobhit Koshta, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Ishwari Ghritlahre, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****03/09/2019**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order of transfer dated 21.08.2019 passed by the respondents transferring the petitioner from Bemetara to Baloda Bazar.
2. The challenge to the transfer order is on the ground that the impugned order reflects the transfer of the petitioner to have been made at the request of the petitioner.

3. According to counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has never moved any application nor has requested for any order of transfer, yet the authorities have shown the order of transfer to have been on its own cost.
4. Given the limited grievance that the petitioner has raised challenging the order of transfer, this Court is of the opinion that subject to verification of the fact that whether the petitioner has made a request for transfer, the impugned order so far as the petitioner is concerned, shall not be given effect to. However, on verification if it is found that the petitioner has in fact made a request, then the impugned order would not stand interfered and the respondents would be at liberty to relieve the petitioner from the present place of posting. Meanwhile, till the verification is done, the effect and operation of the impugned order, so far as the petitioner is concerned, shall not be given effect to.
5. The present Writ Petition, accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit