

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CRR No. 1097 of 2019**

- Bhupendra Sahu S/o Late Shri Laxminarayan Sahu, Aged About 17 Years Through Guardian (Elder Sister) Shashi Sahu D/o Laxminarayan Sahu, Aged About 19 Years R/o Village Lachhanpur, Babadera, Police Station And Tahsil Janjgir District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through S.H.O., Police Station Janjgir, District- Jangir-Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Shri Ravindra Sharma, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Anurag Verma, PL.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey
Order on Board

19/09/2019

1. The present revision has been preferred under Section 102 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (in short 'the Act 2015') against the order dated 22.08.2019 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 77/2019 by the Additional Sessions Judge(FTC), District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.), whereby the learned Additional Sessions Judge has rejected the appeal arising out of order dated 14.08.2019 passed in Crime No. 211/2019 dismissing the bail application of the present applicant by the Principal Judge, Juvenile Justice Board, Janjgir.
2. This is the revision petition filed by the accused, who is juvenile. The prosecution story in brief is that, the brother of the applicant namely Navdha Prasad lodged complaint stating that on 11.04.2019, after taking dinner, he went to walk at about 08:00 pm. and when he

returned home, he found his mother and sister crying in the courtyard. Seeing this, the complainant enquired the reason to her mother. In reply, she said that Laxminarayan (deceased) came to house in drunken condition and started beating her as well as her daughter using the filthy language. In the meantime, the applicant (son of the deceased) came to the spot of incident and while reconciling, in grave provocation, caused the injury in the neck and chest of the deceased with the axe, as a result, Laxminarayan died on the spot. On the date of occurrence the present applicant being juvenile. He was sent to juvenile home on 12.04.2019. He filed an application under Section 12 of the Juvenile Justice Act for granting bail which was dismissed. Against the said dismissal, an appeal was preferred which was also dismissed. Hence, this revision.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the present case, the Juvenile Justice Board as well as the Appellate Court have completely ignored to consider the statutory scheme of Section 12 of the Act of 2015 which itself is *pari materia* of Section 12 of the Act of 2000 while considering the application for grant of bail under Section 12 of the Act of 2015. He further submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has no criminal background. Orders passed by both the Courts below are improper and contrary to the law. In view of provision contained in Section 12 of the Juvenile Justice Act, the applicant deserves to be released on bail. The applicant is in Jail since 12.04.2019 and he has completed more than 5 months in custody, therefore, he may be extended benefit of bail.
4. Counsel for the State submits that the order passed by the two Courts below being fully justified and in accordance with the provisions of Section 12 of the Act does not warrant any interference and the

instant revision deserves to be set aside.

5. I have heard learned counsel both the parties and perused the material available on record. On perusal of the record I do not find any reasonable ground having been brought before the Juvenile Justice Board or the Police Authorities in respect of the so called threat of the juvenile getting exposed to moral, physical or psychological danger or come in the company of known criminal.
6. In view of the above consideration, the impugned order dated 22.08.2019 could not be sustained and is therefore, set aside. The application under Section 12 of the Act of 2015 is allowed. The applicant shall be released on bail forthwith on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/-, by the parents or guardians of the applicant, as the case may be, to the satisfaction of the Juvenile Justice Board for his appearance before the Board, as and when directed.
7. The revision is accordingly allowed.

**Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge**