

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 3313 of 2019**

1. Ashwani Kumar Verma, age 48 years, Son of Shri Kishan Lal Verma, Residing at Sonbhatta, Sonbhatta, Raipur Ganiyari, Chhattisgarh, 493225
2. Dilip Kumar Verma, age 52 years, Son of Shri Narayan Prasad, Residing at Ward No. 7 Gram Kumahri, Bhainsa, Bhainsa, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, 493225
3. Chhicharam Tondon, age 58 years, Son of Late Shri Daulal Tondon, Residing at Ward No. 10 Beltukari, Beltukari, Beldar Seoni, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, 493225

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Chief Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary, Department of Cooperative, Government of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Law & Legislative Affairs, Government of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	None.
For Respondents/State	:	Shri Amit Buxy, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Hon'ble Shri P. R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice**Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge****Order on Board****Per P. R. Ramachandra Menon, Chief Justice****23.09.2019**

1. The Petitioner has moved this Court with the following prayers:

“(i) That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the present writ petition preferred by the petitioner and declare the impugned Notification ultra vires to the Constitution of India and the Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 and Rules made there under.

(ii) That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order/direction to the respondents to not to take any action whatsoever with respect to the implementation of the scheme presented under the impugned Notification.

(iii) That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order/direction to grant ad-interim relief by staying the cause and effect of the impugned Notification dated 25.07.2019 (Annexure P/1).

(iv) That any other order/relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, proper and just in the facts and circumstances of the present case may also kindly be awarded to the petitioner in the ends of justice & equity.

(v) That the cost of the petition may kindly also be awarded to the petitioner.”

2. There was no representation on behalf of the Petitioner when the matter was listed on 19.09.2019, and hence the case was adjourned. Same is the position today as well. It appears that the Petitioner has lost interest in the cause of action.
3. The writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-

(P. R. Ramachandra Menon)
Chief Justice

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge