

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 6913 of 2019**

R. K. Vaishnav S/o Karman Das Aged About 58 Years Presently Posted Assistant Soil Conservation Officer Agriculture Manendragarh. District Korea Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Agricultural Department, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Collector Korea District Korea Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
3. Director Agriculture Vikash Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Deputy Director Agriculture Korea, District Korea Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Hemant Kesharwani, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Ashutosh Mishra, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****05/09/2019**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order Annexure P/1 dated 21.08.2019, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Manendragarh, District Korea to Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa.
2. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner recently suffered from Left Parietal Hemorrhage + Ischemic Stroke and was treated at the Ramkrishna Care Hospital, Raipur and the treatment is continuing and after discharge from the Raipur he is presently under treatment at District Korea. At this juncture, more particularly when the petitioner is aged more than 58 years if the petitioner is now transferred at this stage of his service career, there would be great inconvenience both

to the petitioner and his family members as also the health of the petitioner would get adversely affected.

3. Given the said facts, let the petitioner make a detailed representation to the respondents within a period of 10 days from today and the respondents in turn shall decide the representation within a further period of 45 days from the date of receipt of representation. Meanwhile, there shall be a stay of the effect and operation of the impugned order, so far as the petitioner is concerned.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved