

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 5619 of 2018

Manoj Kumar Sinha S/o Khemlal Sinha, Aged About 34 Years, Presently Posted As Assistant Teacher (P) At Government Primary School Bohil, Block Pandriya, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh R/o Village Ward No. 24, Bhilai, Tahsil & District Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Pandriya, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Writ Petition (S) No. 6139 of 2018

Ogeshwar Markam S/o Late Chamru Singh, Aged About 28 Years, Presently Posted As Assistant Teacher (P) At Government Primary School Khodsanar, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh R/o Mardapal Kondagaon, Tahsil And District Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jnapad Panchayat Kondagaon, District Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Faisal Akhtar, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Salim Kazi, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

16/01/2019

1. Since the relief sought for in both the writ petitions is similar, both the writ petitions are being disposed of by this common order.
2. The challenge in these writ petitions is to the order dated 30.06.2018. The relief sought for by the petitioners is for grant of Kramonnati on their completing 7 years of service with the respondents.
3. Counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as the State, on due verification of the facts, submit that the issue raised by the petitioners in these two writ petitions have already been considered by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions leading of which being WPS 5358/2018 (Smt. Ankita Shrivastava Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others) decided on 12.12.2018 wherein this Court had directed the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners therein for grant of Kramonnati if they were otherwise entitled for the same. It is also not in dispute that in those bunch of writ petitions, the impugned order was same i.e. 30.06.2018 which was under challenge.
4. Given the fact that this Court has already passed an order in an identical issue, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petitions also deserve to be disposed of in similar terms.
5. Both the writ petitions accordingly stand disposed of in similar terms. The respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioners for grant of Kramonnati in accordance with the rules if they are otherwise entitled for the same and fulfill the required eligibility criteria. Let this exercise be

completed by the respondents within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Both the writ petitions accordingly stand disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Khatai