

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 6786 OF 2019**

Shailendra Rathore S/o Late Shri Chedilal Rathore Aged About 40 Years
R/o Shanti Ward Beside Nagar Palika Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar
Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Agriculture, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Managing Director Chhattisgarh Beej Bhawan Ground Floor Ravi Gram Telibandha Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Joint Director Cum Deputy Director Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi Vipnan Mandi Board, Bhatapara Division, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
5. Secretary Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. K.P.S. Gandhi, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****04/09/2019**

1. The relief sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization.
2. According to the petitioner, he has been working with the respondents as a daily wage employee since 01.12.1999 onwards, as such he has put in about more than 19 years of service as a daily wage employee.
3. According to the petitioner, in view of the circular dated 05.03.2008 and the subsequent circulars issued in respect of regularization, the respondents ought to have considered the claim of the petitioner also for regularization, but till date the petitioner's claim has not been

finalized or considered by the Department. The petitioner further submits that there are also sanctioned vacant post available with the Department, against which the petitioner could have been regularized.

4. Given the aforesaid factual matrix of the case, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending, rather ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents No. 2, 3 & 5 to take a decision so far as the regularization of the petitioner is concerned at the earliest and thereafter an appropriate order be passed in this regard.
5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondents No. 2, 3 & 5 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner in the light of the circular of the State Government dated 05.03.2008 and the subsequent circulars in this regard.
6. Let an appropriate decision be taken by the respondents at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.
7. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge