

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 6463 of 2019

Krishna Kumar Agrawal S/o Late Bihari Lal Agrawal Aged About 63 Years Retired From The Post Of Sub Auditor, Office Of Deputy Director (Panchayat), District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, General Administration Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Director (Panchayat) Directorate Of Panchayat, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. C. Jayant K. Rao, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Ishwari Ghritlahare, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

27/08/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for grant of the benefit of one annual increment while fixing pension and other retiral dues payable to the petitioner.
2. According to the petitioner, he retired from service on 30th of June, 2019. Since he has retired from service w.e.f. 30.06.2019, he would be entitled for the annual increment that would be payable to him for the period between 1st of June, 2018 to 30th of June, 2019 as the date of annual increment payable to the petitioner is 1st of July. Therefore, according to the counsel for the petitioner, while quantifying pension and other retiral dues, the annual

increment which the petitioner became entitled for having worked till 30th June, 2019 has to be added to the last pay and other allowances also.

3. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents 1 to 3 consider the case of the petitioner for grant of one annual increment to the last salary which the petitioner has received for the purpose of quantifying pension and other retiral dues. While deciding the claim of the petitioner, the respondents shall take into consideration the judgment of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in the case of P. Ayyamperumal vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and others decided on 15.09.2017 in W. P. No. 15732 of 2017.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved