

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 6491 of 2019**

Smt. Shalini Singh Shri Sanjay Devid Singh Aged About 62 Years
 Sevanivritt Stenographer Karyalaya Adhiskhak Abhiyanta Jalsansadhan
 Mandal Bilaspur, Nivasi Rajiv Ghandhi Chowk Jarhabhatha, Thana Civil
 Line Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur,
 Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Sachiv, Samanya Prashasan Vibhag
 Shaskiy Karmachari Kalyan Shakha Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya
 Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Pramukh Abhiyanta Jal Sansadhan Vibhag Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District :
 Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Sachiv Chhattisgarh Shasan Jal Sansadhan Vibhag, Mantralaya Mahanadi
 Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Sambhagiy Sayukt Sanchalak Kosh Lekha Evam Penshan Bilaspur
 Sambhag, Jila Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. R. K. Patel, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****27.08.2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for grant
 of the benefit of one annual increment while fixing pension and other

retiral dues payable to the petitioner.

2. According to the petitioner, he retired from service on 30th of June, 2017. Since he retired from service w.e.f. 30.06.2017, he would be entitled for the annual increment that would be payable to him for the period between 1st of June, 2016 to 30th of June, 2017 as the date of annual increment payable to the petitioner is 1st of July. Therefore, according to the counsel for the petitioner, while quantifying pension and other retiral dues, the annual increment which the petitioner became entitled for having worked till 30th June, 2017 has to be added to the last pay and other allowances also.
3. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents 1 to 3 consider the case of the petitioner for grant of one annual increment to the last salary which the petitioner has received for the purpose of quantifying pension and other retiral dues. While deciding the claim of the petitioner, the respondents shall take into consideration the judgment of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in the case of P. Ayyamperumal vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and others decided on 15.09.2017 in W. P. No. 15732 of 2017.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge