

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 6442 of 2019

L.K. Ladiya S/o Late Shri Bhagwati Prasad Aged About 64 Years Retired Government Employee As Assistant Engineer / Sub Divisional Officer, Jonk Canal Water Resources Sub Division, Girod, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh R/o Nvc 21, Narmada Vihar, Yadunandan Nagar, Tifra, Tahsil And District Bilaspur, Civil And Revenue District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Engineer-In-Chief Water Resources Department, Sihawa Bhavan, Civil Line, Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Engineer Mahanadi And Godawari Kachhar, Water Resources Department, Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Executive Engineer Water Resources Construction Division, Kasdol, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. The Joint Director Treasury And Pension Branch, Ghadi Chouk, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. P. Acharya, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

26/08/2019

1. The limited prayer that the petitioner has sought for in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents to finalize the pension proceedings of the petitioner.
2. According to the petitioner, he has retired on 30.06.2017 on the post of Assistant Engineer, Water Resources Department. Though he has retired more than two years back, till date he has only been paid the provisional pension. He further makes a submission that there is no departmental

enquiry or any such a proceeding by which the petitioner's pension could have been held back.

3. Given the said submission by the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending rather ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents No. 3 to 5 to ensure that the pension of the petitioner is finalized at the earliest without any further delay within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order unless there is any specific reason for not finalizing the same.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved