

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Criminal Revision No.154 of 2016

Bhikham Prasad, S/o Hirau Chandane, aged about 48 years, at present R/o 100-C-Ruaabandha Sector, Bhilai, Tahsil and Civil and Revenue District Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Applicant

versus

Smt. Rajeshwari Chandane, W/o Bhikham Prasad, aged about 38 years, at present R/o House No.-898/34, Nearby Water Tank, Old Rajendra Nagar, Raipur, Tahsil and Civil and Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

--- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Shri Neeraj Pradhan, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Shri Krishna Tandan, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Singh Chandel

Order on Board

21.2.2019

1. The instant revision has been preferred against the order dated 26.12.2015 passed by the Family Court, Durg in Miscellaneous Criminal Case No.234 of 2015, whereby the Family Court has allowed the application under Section 127 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and enhanced the monthly maintenance from Rs.7,500/- to Rs.15,000/- in favour of the Respondent/wife and her two children, namely, Anjusha Chandane and Vivek Raj Chandane. Initially, vide order dated 1.7.2014 passed in Miscellaneous Criminal Case No.66 of 2013, the Family Court had granted monthly maintenance of Rs.7,500/- in favour of the Respondent/wife and her above-named two children. Thereafter, the application under Section 127 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, being Miscellaneous Criminal Case No.234 of 2015 was preferred by the Respondent/wife and her above-named two

children for enhancement in the monthly maintenance. The said application for enhancement has been allowed vide the impugned order dated 26.12.2015. Hence, this revision by the husband/Applicant.

2. Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant/husband, after arguing for sometime, made a submission that since the impugned order dated 26.12.2015 has been passed in favour of the Respondent/wife and her two children Anjusha Chandane and Vivek Raj Chandane and he has not impleaded the children Anjusha Chandane and Vivek Raj Chandane as party-Respondent in the instant revision, he wants to withdraw the instant revision with liberty to file a fresh revision impleading Anjusha Chandane and Vivek Raj Chandane as party-Respondent therein.
3. In view of the above submission, reserving the liberty as sought for, the instant revision is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(Arvind Singh Chandel)
Judge