

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 6480 of 2019

Ramkrishna Sao S/o Shri Kamta Prasad Sao Aged About 57 Years
Presently Working As Skilled Assistant, At Present Posted At Government
Polytechnic College Bilaspur District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District :
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Technical Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director Technical Education, Indrawati Bhawan Atal Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Principal Government Engineering College, Bilaspur District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. The Joint Director Treasury Accounts And Pension Bilaspur District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Advocate.
----------------	---	---------------------------------

For State	:	Mr. Ayaz Naved, GA
-----------	---	--------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

27/08/2019

1. The limited relief that petitioner has sought for in the present writ petition is for appropriate direction to the respondent No. 2 & 4 for taking appropriate decision so far as grant of increment to the petitioner for the period during which the petitioner's services was on ad-hoc basis i.e. between 1987-1999.

2. The claim of the petitioner is based on the judgment of this Court passed in WPS No. 2466/2011 decided on 25.07.2013 and other connected writ petitions disposed off on later dates in respect of same department.
3. Without entering into the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the respondents are directed to consider the representation which the petitioner has made claiming for increment for the ad-hoc service rendered between 1987-1999 in the light of the judgment of this Court in WPS No. 2466/2011.
4. Let an appropriate decision be taken at the earliest preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. Authorities are expected to take a decision purely in accordance with the rules, regulations and circulars governing the field.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit