

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WRIT PETITION (S) NO.6009 OF 2019**

K.B. Neeraj S/o Late Shri Mangla Ram Neeraj Aged About 62 Years R/o  
Village - Urdana Deepa Para, Raigarh, District - Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

**...Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Home Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur, Police Station - Rakhi, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Director General Jail and Sudharatman Services, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Jail Superintendent Ambikapur, District - Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondent(s)**

|                      |   |                                    |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | : | Shri DN Prajapati, Advocate.       |
| For Respondent-State | : | Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. AG |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order on Board**

**13.08.2019**

1. The limited grievance that the petitioner has raised is that, though the petitioner stood compulsory retired from service on 29.11.2018 but till date retiral dues has not been finalized, neither his pension is settled.
2. Given the limited grievance that the petitioner has made, let the respondents No.1&2 take an appropriate steps ensuring that the entire dues payable to the petitioner is processed and released at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from today.
3. It is made clear that in case if the respondents fail to process the claim of the petitioner and settle the same within a period of 90 days, the entire retiral dues payable to the petitioner shall carry interest @ 10 percent per annum from the date it fell due till the date it is actually released to the petitioner. The respondent authorities would be at liberty to recover the interest part from the erring officer who failed to take prompt steps for

settlement of the dues payable to the petitioner. The payment of interest for the delayed payment would be applicable only in case if the delay occurs on the part of the respondent authorities and not on the part of the petitioner.

4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
**Judge**