

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5931 of 2019

Mrs. Sandhya Sahu W/o Mr. Suryakant Sahu Aged About 34 Years By Occupation Lecturer Nagriya Nikay, Govt. High School Sumedha, Block Khatghora, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Urban Administration Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Zila Panchayat Through Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
4. Municipal Corporation, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Somkant Verma, Panel Lawyer
For Res. NO. 4	:	Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

07/08/2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the [Panchayat department](#) and subsequently

applied for recruitment on the higher post under the Panchayat Department and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore he is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being ***WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)***
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “***Shabnum Khatun***” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in ***WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)***.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit