

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WP227 No. 601 of 2019**

Oriental Bank Of Commerce A Body Corporate Constituted Under The Banking Companies (Acquisition And Transfer Of Undertaking) Act 1980, Having Its Head Office At E Block, Harsha Bhawan, Connaught Place, New Delhi, 110001 And Amongst Other Branches, A Branch At Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, Through Its Authorized Officer Shri Umesh Dhanotiya, (Branch Manager, Shanakar Nagar, Raipur) S/o Shri Ram Prasad Dhanotiya Aged About 30 Years Address- Obc Shankar Nagar, Raipur, 492001 Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

Smt. Manjeet Kumari Daksh W/o Shri Surender Kumar Daksh R/o House No. 6, Sales Tax Colony, Khamardih, Raipur, Chhattisgarh Also Reside At Ews Flat No. 73/b, Block No. 07, Kusha Bhau Thakre Ward, Katchna, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

----Respondent**WP227 No. 604 of 2019**

Oriental Bank Of Commerce A Body Corporate Constituted Under The Banking Companies (Acquisition And Transfer Of Undertaking) Act 1980, Having Its Head Office At E Block, Harsha Bhawan, Connaught Place, New Delhi, 110001 And Amongst Other Branches, A Branch At Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, Through Its Authorized Officer Shri Umesh Dhanotiya, (Branch Manager, Shanakar Nagar, Raipur) S/o Shri Ram Prasad Dhanotiya Aged About 30 Years Address- Obc Shankar Nagar, Raipur, 492001 Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

Smt. Manjeet Kumari Daksh W/o Shri Surender Kumar Daksh R/o House No. 6, Sales Tax Colony, Khamardih, Raipur, Chhattisgarh Also Reside At Ews Flat No. 73/b, Block No. 07, Kusha Bhau Thakre Ward, Katchna, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

----Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr. Saurabh Jain, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board**

01.08.2019

1. These writ petitions under Article 227 of the Constitution of India have been preferred by the petitioner against the order dated 26/06/2019 wherein learned 2nd Additional Judge to the 1st ADJ, Raipur has rejected the plaint of the petitioner in exercise of jurisdiction conferred under Order 7 Rule 11 of the CPC, which is admittedly appealable as a decree under Section 96 of the CPC. As such, these writ petitions are not maintainable in law.
2. Accordingly, both these writ petitions are dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to prefer an appeal in accordance with law, if any.
3. Certified copy of this order be returned after furnishing attested copy thereof.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Harneet