

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5829 of 2019

Smt. Ranjeeta W/o Shri Narendra Sahu Aged About 34 Years Lecturer (Panchayat) At Govt. High School Lodibahara, Block Podiuproda, District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
4. District Education Officer Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Abhyunnati Singh, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

06/08/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non-acceptance of the past services rendered by the petitioner as a Shiksha Karmi Grade-I by the respondents for the purpose of absorption in the Education Department.
2. According to the petitioner, she was initially appointed on 06.07.2010 on the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-I at Govt. Higher Secondary School, Behari, District Durg. Subsequently, the petitioner was appointed as a Lecturer (Panchayat) on 28.01.2013. According to the petitioner, the past services rendered at the aforesaid school has been taken note of by the Department for the purpose of grant of revised pay-scale.

3. For the purpose of grant of revised pay scale, the State Govt. has taken the past service rendered as Shiksha Karmi Grade-I of the petitioner and has granted the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years service starting from the time when the petitioner was initially appointed as Shiksha Karmi Grade-I.
4. The grievance of the petitioner now is that though the Department has accepted the past service of the petitioner for the purpose of revised pay scale but for the purpose of counting the total length of service for absorption in the Education Department, the past service is not being considered.
5. This Court does not find any strong reason or ground on the part of the Department for not accepting the past service of the petitioner for the purpose of absorption particularly when the department has counted the past service for the purpose of grant of revised pay scale.
6. Given the aforesaid fact, let the case of the petitioner be scrutinized by respondents 1 & 2 and an appropriate order be passed as to why the past service of the petitioner cannot be counted for the purpose of absorption when the Department itself has counted the said period for the purpose of revised pay scale. Let an order be passed by respondents 1 & 2 within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
7. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise respondents 1 & 2 so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned. The petitioner would be at liberty to file a suitable representation, if she so want, supported with all relevant documents.
8. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge